

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/576,283	12/11/2006	Birger J. Natvig	OPA 329	1861
23581 7590 02/21/2008 KOLISCH HARTWELL, P.C. 520 SW YAMHILL STREET, Suite 200			EXAMINER	
			MAYO, TARA L	
PORTLAND, OR 97204			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3671	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/21/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/576,283 NATVIG, BIRGER J. Office Action Summary Art Unit Examiner TARA L. MAYO 3671 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 November 2007. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 17 April 2006 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC/G5/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ______

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/576,283 Page 2

Art Unit: 3671

DETAILED ACTION

Introduction

The following Office Action is responsive to the Preliminary Amendment filed 17
April 2006.

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which
papers have been placed of record in the file.

Specification

 The prior objections to the Specification have been overcome by the response filed 09 November 2007.

Claim Objections

- The prior objections to claims 1 through 10 for non-idiomatic English and improper multiple dependency are withdrawn in view of the Preliminary Amendment filed 17 April 2006.
- Claims 2 through 4 and 6 through 12 are objected to because of the following informalities: minor claim drafting errors.

In each claim 2 through 4 and 6 through 12 on line 1, delete "A" and insert therefore —The—. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/576,283 Art Unit: 3671

Claims 4 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being
indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter
which applicant regards as the invention.

The term "heavy" in claim 4 on line 2 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "heavy" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. For the purpose of prosecution on the merits the Examiner has considered the term to mean any material capable of sinking the vessel. Claim 8 is similarly rejected and interpreted.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

 The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b, by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of fits subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the finglish language.
- Claims 1 through 3, 5 through 7, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Vatsvag (U.S. Patent Publication No. 2004/0258483 A1).

Vatsvag '483, discloses a method of removing an offshore jacket structure comprising the steps of providing a ballastable vessel (1), bringing said vessel into the vicinity of the jacket structure, ballasting the vessel so as to rotate a main section of the vessel, securing the vessel to the jacket structure and deballasting the vessel to raise the vessel with the jacket, wherein the vessel has a main buoyancy section (7) and two

Art Unit: 3671

auxiliary buoyancy sections (8). Vatsvag '483, as best seen in Figure 5, further shows the vessel being located beyond 90° into contact with the jacket, its lower end substantially in contact with the seabed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
 obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 4 and 8 through 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vatsvag (U.S. Patent Publication No. 2004/0258483 A1).

Vatsvag '483 further teaches the vessel having a pump room (paragraph 0051) and a control room (inherent), and the lower ends of the auxiliary buoyant sections being rounded. Vatsvag '483 fails to teach the ballast being permanent. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the device disclosed by Vatsvag '483 such that it would additionally include permanent ballast since use of the same is a well know expedient in the art of marine structures and vessels for stabilization.

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments filed 09 November 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to Applicant's arguments that the vessel (2) of Vatsvag '483 is not ballastable and does not deviate from the horizontal, the Examiner notes element 1 of the prior art has been identified as the vessel in the above rejection.

Art Unit: 3671

In response to Applicant's argument that the pivoting vessel of the prior art possesses significant drawbacks over Applicant's invention, the Examiner contends Applicant fails to limit the claimed method to performance by a single, isolated vessel as presented in the Arguments. Therefore, the method of the prior art meets the invention as claimed.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., the vessel having the general shape of an isosceles triangle as seen from plan view) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPO2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TARA L. MAYO whose telephone number is (571)272-6992. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas B. Will can be reached on 571-272-6998. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/576,283 Page 6

Art Unit: 3671

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO

Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call

800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/TARA L MAYO/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3671

Tlm 03 February 2008